The article was alleged to be adulterated in that water had been mixed and packed with it so as to reduce or lower its quality or strength; and in

that water had been substituted in whole or in part for the article.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements on the label. "Apricot Juice Sugar Syrup Added" and "Juice Made From Fresh Tree-Ripened Apricots with Sugar Syrup Added", were false and misleading and tended to deceive and mislead the purchaser since the article contained added water in excess of the amount indicated by the statements on the label.

On June 24, 1936, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation

was entered and it was ordered that the product be destroyed.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26242. Adulteration of dried apricots and mixed dried fruits. U. S. v. 14 Cases of Dried Apricots and 14 Cases of Mixed Dried Fruits. of condemnation and destruction. (F. & D. nos. 87715, 87716. Sample nos. 67841-B, 67842-B.)

This case involved dried fruits that were insect-infested.

On or about May 7, 1936, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Texas, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 14 cases of dried apricots and 14 cases of mixed dried fruits at Big Spring, Tex., alleging that the articles had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 2, 1934, by Rosenberg Bros., & Co., from Fresno, Calif., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act. The articles were labeled, respectively: "Equality Brand California Apricots Packed by California Prune and Apricot Growers Assn. * * * San Jose California"; "Eureka Brand Extra Choice California Fruit Compote Rosenberg Bros. & Co. California * * *"

The articles were alleged to be adulterated in that they consisted in whole

or in part of filthy vegetable substances.

On June 10, 1936, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the products be destroyed.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26243. Adulteration of canned salmon. U. S. v. 693 Cartons of Canned Salmon. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond. (F. & D. no. 37728. Sample no. 68999-B.)

This case involved salmon that was in part decomposed.

On May 11, 1936, the United States attorney for the Eastern District of Louisiana, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 693 cartons, each containing 48 cans of salmon, at New Orleans, La., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about March 10, 1936, by the New England Fish Co., from Portland, Oreg., and charging adulteration in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.

The article was labeled in part: "Pillar Rock Brand Fancy Chinook Salmon * * Packed and Guaranteed by New England Fish Company Seattle

Washington Spring Pack."

The article was alleged to be adulterated in that it consisted in whole or in

part of a decomposed animal substance.

On July 17, 1936, the New England Fish Co., claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel and having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and it was ordered that the product be released under bond, conditioned that it not be sold or otherwise disposed of contrary to the provisions of the Food and Drugs Act.

HARRY L. BROWN, Acting Secretary of Agriculture.

26244. Adulteration of canned salmon. U. S. v. 148 Cartons of Canned Salmon. Consent decree of condemnation. Product released under bond for reconditioning. (F. & D. no. 37730. Sample nos. 73498-B, 73511-B.)

This case involved an interstate shipment of canned salmon examination of

which showed the presence of decomposed salmon.

On May 12, 1936, the United States attorney for the Western District of Washington, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the district court a libel praying seizure and condemnation of 148 cartons of canned salmon at Seattle, Wash., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 30, 1935, by the First Bank of Cordova, from Cordova, Alaska, and that it was adulterated in violation of the Food and Drugs Act.